

# **Threatened Species Assessment**

# Haegiela tatei Small Nut-heads

# **Taxonomy**

Haegiela tatei (F. Muell.) P.S. Short & Paul G. Wilson

#### **Current conservation status**

Categorised as Vulnerable in the 2014 Advisory list of rare or threatened flora (DEPI 2014).

# **Proposed conservation status**

Endangered in Victoria

Criteria A2ace; B2ab(ii,iii,iv,v)c(iv)

### **Species Information**

#### **Description and Life History**

The taxon is a cobwebbed annual herb c. 2-8 cm high; branches ascending to erect. Leaves ovate, lanceolate, obovate or linear, 2.5-7 mm long, 0.5-2 mm wide, glabrous or cobwebbed. Capitula 2-3 mm diam. Involucral bracts 15-20; outer bracts ovate to widely elliptic, 2.4-3.5 mm long, inner bracts 1-1.5 mm long; female florets c. 20-50, corolla c. 1.5 mm long; bisexual florets 7-11. Cypselas 0.5-0.7 mm long. The taxon flowers from September to November (VicFlora, 2017).

#### **Generation Length**

The generation length of *Haegiela tatei* is inferred to be 3 to 7 years. This is based on the frequency of La Niña wet seasons.

#### Distribution

The taxon is rare in Victoria, located in the far west and north-west area, e.g. Pink Lakes, Mitre Lake, Dimboola district, and Towan Plains. It also occurs in Western Australia and South Australia (VicFlora, 2017).

There has been an absence of collections in wet years experiencing exceptional (spring-) summer rain, particularly from 1974 - 1978 which coincided with La Niña wet seasons (lan Sluiter pers. comm. 10/7/18).

There have been pronounced fluctuations in collection frequency across all years ranging from 1880 to 2010, with exceptional concentrations in 1983 and 1986, which had 10 and 25 collections respectively. There have been more collections since 2010, although these numbers appear to be artefacts of survey efforts by a team of collectors near the mouth of the Murray River in 1986, and by Neville Scarlet and Howard Browne at two sites in Victoria in 1983 (lan Sluiter pers. comm. 10/7/18).

#### Habitat

The taxon is restricted to saline, often gypseous habitats, and often grow in samphire flats or low chenopod shrubland (VicFlora, 2017).

#### **Threats**

Two sites, including Pine Plains in Wyperfeld NP, were the worst sites for rabbit infestation post the 1989 recommendations to cease sheep grazing, with massive rabbit warrens every 50-100m, and intense grazing or





browsing. However, rabbits now appear to be controlled in key areas for this taxon (Ian Sluiter pers. comm. 10/7/18).

The key current and future threat for this taxon is rising ground water and increasing salinity, as described for *Maireana oppositifolia*.

#### **IUCN Criteria**

Criterion A. Population size reduction. Population reduction (measured over the longer of 10 years or 3 generations) based on any of A1 to A4						
	Critical Endange		End	langered	Vulnerable	
A1	≥ 90%		;	≥ 70%	≥ 50%	
A2, A3, A4	≥ 80%	1	;	≥ 50%	≥ 30%	
A1 Population reduction observed, est inferred or suspected in the past ar of the reduction are clearly reversit understood AND ceased.  A2 Population reduction observed, est inferred or suspected in the past wicauses of the reduction may not hat OR may not be understood OR may reversible.  A3 Population reduction, projected or see the past wicauses of the reduction may not be met in the future (up to a maxim years) [(a) cannot be used for A3]	Id the causes Ide AND Imated, Inere the Ive ceased Iy not be Suspected to	basee any o follow	(c) d on of the	o) an index of to the taxor  a decline in extent of oc of habitat	area of occupancy, currence and/or quality	
A4 An observed, estimated, inferred, p suspected population reduction wh period must include both the past a (up to a max. of 100 years in future the causes of reduction may not ha may not be understood OR may no	ere the time nd the future ), and where ve ceased OR		(е	hybridizatio	of introduced taxa, n, pathogens, pollutants, or parasites	

#### **Evidence:**

# Eligible under Criterion A2 as Endangered

The population reduction over the past 9 to 21 years is inferred to be 50 to 75%, based on (a), (c) and (e) above.

This is based on a paucity of records in the last 10 years, despite excellent winter to early spring rain that is highly favourable for the recruitment of annuals in a number of seasons including 2016. There were no Victorian collections in 2005, which was a near average annual rainfall, and there have been no collections at all since 2010 and no site records in recent surveys.

The causes of the reduction may not have ceased, be understood or be reversible.

Criterion B. Geographic range in the form of either B1 (extent of occurrence) and/or B2 (area of occupancy						
		Critically Endangered Very restricted	Endangered Restricted	Vulnerable Limited		
B1	. Extent of occurrence (EOO)	< 100 km <sup>2</sup>	< 5,000 km <sup>2</sup>	< 20,000 km <sup>2</sup>		
B2	. Area of occupancy (AOO)	< 10 km²	< 500 km²	< 2,000 km²		
AND at least 2 of the following 3 conditions:						
(a)	Severely fragmented OR Number of locations	=1	≤5	≤ 10		
(b)	Continuing decline observed, estimated, inferred or projected in any of: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii) area of occupancy; (iii) area, extent and/or quality of habitat; (iv) number of locations or subpopulations; (v) number of mature individuals					
(c)	e) Extreme fluctuations in any of: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii) area of occupancy; (iii) number of locations or subpopulations; (iv) number of mature individuals					

#### **Evidence:**

## Eligible under Criterion B2 as Endangered

The Area of Occupancy (AoO) across the taxon's range is estimated to be 88 km², based on 2 x 2 km grids derived from accepted, post-1970 records in the Victorian Biodiversity Atlas.

The taxon is estimated to be severely fragmented based on the habitat specificity of the taxon and short-range dispersal of the taxon which is likely to be restricted to individual stands of samphire or low chenopod shrubland.

It is inferred to have 1 location, and has a continuing decline in (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) based on the key threat of rising groundwater and increasing salinity applying across the Victorian range of the taxon.

It is estimated to have extreme fluctuations in (iv) above, based on recruitment that is cued by winter rain, which is highly variable across the Mallee range and Wimmera. Therefore, the taxon is arguably subject to extreme fluctuations in population size between El Niño drought and La Niña wet seasons, which are projected to increase in severity.

Criterion C. Small Population size and decline				
		Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable
Nu	mber of mature individuals	< 250	< 2,500	< 10,000
AND at least one of C1 or C2				
<u>C1</u>	An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future):	25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer)	20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer)	10% in 10 years or 3 generations (whichever is longer)
<u>C2</u>	An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND least 1 of the following 3 conditions:			
(2)	(i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopulation	≤ 50	≤ 250	≤ 1,000
(a)	(ii) % of mature individuals in one subpopulation =	90 – 100%	95 – 100%	100%
(b)	Extreme fluctuations in the number of mature individuals			

#### **Evidence:**

#### Ineligible under Criterion C as Data Deficient

The total population size is impossible to estimate at any point in time since the taxon is subject to extreme fluctuations in population size in response to seasonal conditions.

Criterion·D.·Very·small·or·restricted·population¤					
XX	Critically Endangereda	Endangered¤	Vulnerable¤		
Number-of-mature-individuals-(observed-or-estimated) <sup>122</sup>	<-50¤	<·250¤	<.1,000∞		
D2·Only·applies·to·the·VU·category¶ Restricted·area·of·occupancy·or·number·of·locations·with·a· plausible·future·threat·that·could·drive·the·species·to·critically- endangered·or·Extinct·in·a·very·short·time.	-11	-11	D2.·Typically:¶ AoQ·<·20·km2·or- number·of- locations·≤·5¤		

### **Evidence:**

#### Eligible under criterion D2 as Vulnerable

The taxon is estimated to be very restricted.

Criterion E (Quantitative Analysis) was not addressed as the taxon does not have a detailed Population Viability Analysis.

#### References

DEPI (2014). *Advisory list of rare or threatened plants in Victoria - 2014*. Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Melbourne. Retrieved from:

https://www.environment.vic.gov.au/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0021/50448/Advisory-List-of-Rare-or-Threatened-Plants-in-Victoria-2014.pdf



Short, P.S. (1996). Haegiela. In N.G. Walsh and T.J. Entwisle (Eds.), *Flora of Victoria Vol. 4, Cornaceae to Asteraceae*. Melbourne: Inkata Press.

VicFlora (2017). Flora of Victoria, Royal Botanic Gardens Victoria: *Haegiela tatei*. Retrieved from: https://vicflora.rbg.vic.gov.au/flora/taxon/67203570-367f-4fd3-8ac0-f66fe096e41f

**Taxon ID 501173** OFFICIAL 9 June 2021