***FLORA AND FAUNA GUARANTEE ACT* 1988**

**NOMINATION OF A POTENTIALLY THREATENING PROCESS FOR LISTING OR DELISTING**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Nominator’s name** | **Signature** |
|  |  |
| **Nominator’s address**  |
|  |
| **Name of potentially threatening process** |
|  |
| **Please state whether this is a nomination for listing or delisting** |
|  |
| **Description** | ***Provide a brief, general description of the potentially threatening process. A reference to a detailed description could be included.***  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Habitats or areas affected** | ***Describe the habitats, geographic areas or environments where the potentially threatening process operates.*** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Taxa or communities affected** | ***List individual taxa, functional groups of taxa or communities affected by this potentially threatening process.*** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Significance of the threat** | ***Indicate i) the significance of the threat, in terms of its scale and/or severity, and ii) how the potentially threatening process is operating now and/or is expected to operate in the future.*** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Eligibility criteria** | ***Indicate which of the primary criteria and sub-criteria stated in the Regulations the potentially threatening process satisfies, on what evidence the case is based and cite the sources of this evidence. The evidence may have been presented in full in the preceding sections, however in this section, a summary of the logic of the case should be provided. Unsupported statements which need to be verified delay the SAC's consideration of the nomination.***  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **References** | ***Provide full details of references which have been cited as sources of evidence in the nomination. This may include personal communications, however peoples full names and their skills/knowledge area should also be identified.*** |