# **Treeless Vegetation Quality Field Assessment Sheet** Version 1.4 - July 2009

Department of Sustainability and **Environment** 

Site Name/No.		Location	Date
Assessor(s)		Map Name/No	AMG / MGA
Tenure	EVC		Bioregion

# 'Site Condition Score'

#### **Understorey Life forms**

	•			
LF Code from EVC benchmark	# spp observed / Benchmark spp.	% cover observed / Benchmark % cover	Present (✓)	Modified (✓)
	/	/		
	/	1		
	1	/		
	/	/		
	/	/		
	/	/		
	1	1		
	/	/		
	1	/		
	/	/		
	1	/		
	/	/	***************************************	
	1	1		
	1	1		

For life forms with benchmark cover of < 10%, considered 'present' if

Present

(apply only

where life

form is 'present') · any specimens are observed.

For life forms with benchmark cover of  $\geq$  10%, considered 'present' if

 the life form occupies at least 10% of benchmark cover. For life forms with benchmark cover of <10%, then considered substantially 'modified' if the life form has either:

 < 50% of the benchmark species diversity; or</li> Modified

• no reproductively-mature specimens are observed.

For life forms with benchmark cover of  $\geq$  10%, then considered substantially 'modified' if the life form has either:

- < 50% of benchmark cover; or
- 50% of benchmark species diversity; or
- ≥ 50% of benchmark cover due largely to immature canopy specimens but the cover of reproductively-mature specimens is < 10% of the benchmark cover.

Understorey	Score	
Category & Description		
All strata and Life forms effect	tively absent	0
Up to 50% of life forms prese	ent	5
≥ 50% to 90% of Life forms present	<ul> <li>of those present, ≥ 50% substantially modified</li> </ul>	10
	<ul> <li>of those present, &lt; 50% substantially modified</li> </ul>	15
≥ 90% of Life forms present	<ul> <li>of those present, ≥ 50% substantially modified</li> </ul>	15
	<ul> <li>of those present, &lt; 50% substantially modified</li> </ul>	20
	<ul> <li>of those present, none substantially modified</li> </ul>	25

## **Lack of Weeds**

< 5% cover of weeds\*\*

Lack of weeds	3	core	
Category & Description	'higi	h threat' wee	eds*
Category & Description	None	≤ <i>50%</i>	> 50%
> 50% cover of weeds	4	2	0
25 - 50% cover of weeds	7	6	4
5 - 25% cover of weeds	11	9	7

proportion of weed cover due to 'high threat' weeds - see EVC benchmark for

15

- 'High threat' weed species are defined as those introduced species (including non-indigenous 'natives') with the ability to out-compete and substantially reduce one or more indigenous life forms in the longer term assuming ongoing current site characteristics and disturbance regime.
- The EVC benchmark lists typical weed species for the EVC in the bioregion and provides an estimate of their 'invasiveness' and 'impact'. In general, those weed species considered to have a high impact are considered high threat regardless of their invasiveness.
- \*\* if total weed cover is negligible (<1%) and high threat weed species are present then score '13'.

#### Recruitment

#### Score

Percentage cover of recruitment area#	High native herb diversity*	Low native herb diversity*
0 to 5% cover	0	0
> 5% to 20% cover	6	3
> 20% to 40% cover	10	6
> 40% to 60% cover	6	3
> 60% to 90% cover	3	1
> 90% cover	0	0

- # cumulative % cover of bare ground, bryophytes/lichens and soil crust
- \* high native herb diversity defined as ≥ 50% of benchmark diversity within 'herb' life forms

#### **Organic Litter**

#### Score



Category & Description		Dominated by non-native organic litter
< 10% of benchmark cover	0	0
< 50% or $>$ 150% of benchmark cover	3	2
≥ 50% or ≤ 150% of benchmark cover	5	4



### Department of Sustainability and Environment

# **Treeless Vegetation Quality Field Assessment Sheet Version 1.4 - July 2009**

Score **Logs** (where applicable<sup>+</sup>) Large logs Large logs **Category & Description** present\* absent# 0 0 < 10% of benchmark length < 50% of benchmark length 3 2 5 4  $\geq$  50% of benchmark length

Large logs defined as those with diameter  $\geq$  0.5 of benchmark large tree dbh.

- + Applicable to some shrublands and scrubs (refer to EVC benchmark as a guide). Where applicable assess in accordance with the habitat hectares method for logs in treed EVCs. Note that most shrublands and scrubs do not contain a large tree component and hence a large log assessment is not required (refer to EVC benchmark as a guide). Such EVCs should be scored as if 'large logs present'.
- \* present if large log length is  $\geq$  25% of EVC benchmark log length.
- # absent if large log length is < 25% of EVC benchmark log length.

# **'Landscape Context Score'**

Patch Size Score	
Category & Description	
< 2 ha	1
Between 2 and 5 ha	2
Between 5 and 10 ha	4
Between 10 and 20 ha	6
≥ 20 ha, but 'significantly disturbed'*	8
≥ 20 ha, but not 'significantly disturbed'*	10

 <sup>&#</sup>x27;significantly disturbed' defined as per RFA 'Old Growth' analyses eg. roading, coupes, grazing etc. – effectively most patches within fragmented landscapes.

# Distance to Core Area Score

Distance	Core Area not significantly disturbed*	Core Area significantly disturbed*				
> 5 km	0	0				
1 to 5 km	2	1				
< 1 km	4	3				
contiguous	5	4				

<sup>\*</sup> defined as per RFA 'Old Growth' analyses.

Neighbou	ırhood	Score		
Radius from site	% Native vegetation*	Weighting		
100 m		0.03		
1 km		0.04		
5 km		0.03		
	`significan	e neighbourhood is atly disturbed'		
		Add Values and 'round-off'		

<sup>\*</sup> to nearest 20%.

Multiply % native vegetation x Weighting for each radius from the zone (eg.  $40\% \times 0.03 = 1.2$ ); then add values to obtain final Neighbourhood Value.

	Final Habitat Score										
	'Site Condition Score'						'Landscape Context Score'				
Component	itorey	Lack of Weeds	:ment	Organic Litter	Logs ( <i>if applicable</i> )	ırdiser	al	Size	Neighbourhood	Distance to Core Area	Total
Con	Understorey	Lack of	Recruitment	Organi	) sbo7	Standardiser	Subtotal	Patch Size	Neighb	Distano	100
Score											

